



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्यशासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

खण्ड 21]

शिमला, शनिवार, 21 जुलाई, 1973/30 आषाढ़, 1895

संख्या 29

विषय-सूची

भाग 1	वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपत्र और हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा कार्य द्वारा प्रविसूचनाएं इत्यादि	1080—1083 तथा 1099—1105
भाग 2	वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिना मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा प्रविसूचनाएं इत्यादि	1083—1090 तथा 1105—1106
भाग 3	अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर पमिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा कोर्ट, काइनेंशन कमिशनर तथा कमिशनर आफ इनकॉर्पोरेशन द्वारा प्रविसूचित भावेश इत्यादि	1091—1093
भाग 4	स्थानीय स्वायत शासन: म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड और टाउन एडिल तथा पंचायत विभाग	1094—1096
भाग 5	वैयक्तिक प्रविसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन	1096—1097 तथा 1106
भाग 6	भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन	1097—1098
भाग 7	भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) को वैधानिक ग्रंथसूचनाएं तथा प्रत्यन्य निर्वाचित पम्बन्धी प्रविसूचनाएं	—

21 जुलाई, 1973/30 आषाढ़, 1895 को समाप्त होने वाले सप्ताह में निम्नलिखित विज्ञप्तियां 'प्रसाधारण राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश' में प्रकाशित हुईः—

विज्ञप्ति की संख्या	विभाग का नाम	विषय
No. 6-1/69-Pnt. Sectt., dated the 17th July, 1973.	Panchayati Raj Department	The Himachal Pradesh Zila Parishad (Appointment of Secretaries) Rules, 1973.
No. 6-6/70-LSG, dated the 24th January, 1973.	Local Self Government Department	The Himachal Pradesh Municipality (General) Rules, 1972.
No. 1-15/72-LSG, dated the 16th March, 1973.	-do-	Amendments made by the Municipal Committee, Nurpur in the Himachal Pradesh Municipal Act, 1968.
No. 1-7/70-LSG, dated the 16th March, 1973.	-do-	Leasing out of Stalls Constructed by the Municipality in Himachal Pradesh Rules, 1973.
No. 5-25/72-LR, dated the 7th July, 1973.	Law Department	The Himachal Pradesh New Mandi Townships (Development and Regulations) Act, 1973 (Act No. 18 of 1973).

भाग 1—वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि

हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट

NOTIFICATIONS

Simla-1, the 30th June, 1973

No. HHC (Gaz) 4-2/71. In exercise of the powers vested in them under sub-section (2) of section 12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, as amended by the Punjab Separation of Judicial and Executive Functions Act, 1964 (Act No. 25 of 1964), the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges have been pleased to confer upon Shri Mangat Ram Verma, Senior Sub-Judge, Mandi, the powers of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class to be exercised by him within those areas of Simla district where Punjab Separation of Judicial and Executive Functions Act, 1964 is applicable, with immediate effect and till further orders.

Simla-1, the 30th June, 1973

No. HHC (Gaz.) 4-2/71.—In exercise of the powers vested in them under sub-section (2) of section 12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898, as amended by the Punjab Separation of Judicial and Executive Functions Act, 1964 (Act No. 25 of 1964), the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges have been pleased to confer upon Shri R. L. Khurana, Senior Sub-Judge, Solan, the powers of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class to be exercised by him within those areas of Solan district where Punjab Separation of Judicial and Executive Functions Act, 1964 is applicable with effect from the date he assumes the charge as Senior Sub-Judge, Solan, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-1, the 30th June, 1973

No. HHC (Gaz.) 4-2/71.—In exercise of the powers vested in them under section 10 (1-A) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as amended by the Punjab Separation of Judicial and Executive Functions Act, 1964 (Act 25 of 1964), the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges have been pleased to confer upon Shri R. L. Khurana, Judicial Magistrate, Solan, the powers of the Chief Judicial Magistrate to be exercised by him within those areas of Solan district where Punjab Separation of Judicial and Executive Functions Act, 1964 is applicable with effect from the date he assumes the charge at Solan.

By orders,
KEDARISHWAR,
Registrar.

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

PERSONNEL (A-I) DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Simla-2, the 9th July, 1973

No. 3-3/67-App. I. The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to accord sanction to the grant of 33 days' earned leave w.e.f. 9th July, 1973 to the 10th August, 1973, with permission to prefix and suffix gazetted holidays falling on the 8th July, 1973 and 11th 13th and 15th August, 1973 and 13th and 14th August, 1973 being restricted holidays to Shri S. K. Alok, Commissioner, Transport and Tourism, Himachal Pradesh subject to verification of title to leave by the A. G., H. P. and Chandigarh.

2. The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is further pleased to order that in the absence of Shri S. K. Alok, Commissioner, Transport and Tourism, Himachal Pradesh, on leave, Shri Kehar Singh, HAS, Deputy General Manager (Commercial), Himachal Pradesh Government Transport, shall also hold the charge of the post of Commissioner, Transport and Tourism, Himachal Pradesh, in addition to his own duties.

U. N. SHARMA,

Chief Secretary.

ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Simla-2, the 11th July, 1973

No. 2-11/70 AH (Sectt).—Whereas it appears to the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, that the land is required to be taken by the Government at public expense for a public purpose, namely for the Milk Chilling Centre under Mandi Milk Supply Scheme at Sidhiani, District Mandi, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provision of section 7 of the said Act, the Collector, Land Acquisition, Mandi district, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

A plan of the land may be inspected in the office of the Collector, Land Acquisition, Mandi district, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

SPECIFICATION

District: MANDI

Tehsil: SADAR

Village	Khasra No.	Area Big. Bis. Bisw.
SIDHIANI	28/1, 41, 44/1, 41/1/1, 43/1 and 40/1	0 12 9

K. C. PANDEYA,
Secretary.

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Simla-2, the 7th June, 1973

No. 3-27/71.Sectt.Edu.A.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to constitute a Committee at the State Level with immediate effect. The constitution of the Committee will be as under:

1. Agriculture Production Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh, *Chairman*
2. Secretary (University Education), Himachal Pradesh, *Member*
3. Director of Education, Himachal Pradesh *Member*
4. Youth Co-ordinator, Nehru Yuval Kendra, Solan *Member*

5. Youth Coordinator, Nehru Yuval Kendra, Hamirpur	Member
6. Youth Coordinator, Nehru Yuval Kendra, Chamba	Member
7. A representative from the Government of India (Deputy Programme Adviser, Chandigarh	Member
8. State Coordinator, Shri Mann Bhai (Servants of the People Society) Gandhi peace Foundation Centre, Akhara Bazar, Kulu, Himachal Pradesh	Member
9. Director of Public Relations, Himachal Pradesh, Simla-2	Member
10. Director, Physical Education & Students Welfare, Himachal Pradesh University, and N.S.S. Coordinator	Secretary

The functions of the Committee will be as under:—

- (a) The Committee at the State Level will discuss, arrange, mobilisation of student and non-student youth for involvement in the programme "Youth Against Famine", identify projects for execution and exercise over-all supervision and guidance on the programmes under execution.
- (b) The Stage Coordinating Committee will meet and select the projects for implementation. Such projects should ordinarily be capable of completion in two to two and a half months. Preference will be given to projects already selected by the State and District Development authorities.
- (c) The State Coordinating Committee will also decide on the number of participants to be engaged in each project. Each batch of students will generally work for a period of 21 days with two days for briefing and two days for debriefing and evaluation.

The works to be taken up by the youth will be largely those of manual labour, like—

- (a) Constructing earthen dams for water conservation.
- (b) Digging water tanks.
- (c) Constructing very small earthen dams for soil conservation, kutch contour bunding.
- (d) Helping in afforestation.

The participation in the programme will be largely that of University/College students who will constitute about 75% of each camp. The remaining 25% will comprise non-student youth of the locality, by and large of the same age as the students. T. A. and D.A. will be governed as per terms and conditions laid down in Annexure.

The number of students participants will be 400 in respect of this State in addition to 25 % non-students youths.

The duration of the Committee will be one year in the first instance.

This issues with the prior concurrence of the Finance Department obtained vide their Dy. No. 1318, dated 9-5-73.

ANNEXURE

Travelling allowance to non-official member of the State Level Committee, Himachal Pradesh regarding involvement of youth in the National Development Programme.

1. (a) *Travelling Allowance—Journey by Rail.*—The non-official members who are not members of Vidhan Sabha will be treated at par with Government servants of the first grade and will be entitled to a single fare of the class.

of accommodation actually used, but not exceeding the fare to which the Government servants of the first grade are normally entitled i.e. accommodation of the first class, plus an allowance for incidental expenses at the rate of 35 paise per every 10 kilometers or part thereof if the journey exceeds 5 kilometers or one daily allowance at the ordinary rates prescribed in T. A. Rules for every 24 hours of the railway journey or part thereof, whichever is less.

(b) *Journey by Road.*—In respect of journey by road between places not connected by rail, a member other than the member, Vidhan Sabha will be entitled to road mileage admissible to an officer of the first grade under the rules and at the rates as applicable to the employees of the Himachal Pradesh Government.

In a case where journey between two places connected by rail is performed by road, rail being the ordinary mode of travelling, the road mileage will be regulated as under:—

- (i) When a journey is performed by taking a single seat in public conveyance, he will be entitled to actual fare paid for a seat in the public conveyance plus incidentals admissible as for a journey by rail, or the lower rates of road mileage prescribed in the T. A. Rules limited to rail mileage, whichever is less.
- (ii) When the journey is performed otherwise the higher rate or road mileage, but limited to rail mileage will apply.

When the journey is performed by using a means of locomotion provided by the Government and the member does not pay cost of its use or propulsion he will be entitled to draw an allowance for incidental expenses at the rates admissible under S.R. 36 on the basis of distance covered by road by the direct route and restricted to one daily allowance at the ordinary rate for every twenty-four hours of journey or part thereof. For this purpose, the forward and return journeys will be treated separately except when they fall on the same day.

(c) The representatives of the University will draw their T.A. and D.A. from Himachal Pradesh University.

(d) The official members of the above Committee will draw their T. A. and D.A. from their respective Departments.

2. *Daily allowance.*—The Non-Official member (s) other than M.L.As. will be entitled to daily allowance for each day of meeting at the highest rate admissible to a Government servant of the first grade for the respective locality.

(b) In addition to the daily allowance for the day(s) of the meeting a member shall also be entitled to full daily allowance for the day preceding and/or the day following the meeting, if—

1. he arrives in the forenoon of the day preceding the day of the meeting or on an earlier day and/or;
2. he departs at 12-noon or in the afternoon of the day following the day of the meeting or on a later day. But he will be entitled to only half daily allowance for the day preceding and/or for the day following the meeting, if—

**TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT
NOTIFICATIONS**

Simla-2, the 11th July, 1973

No. 4-8/69-Tpt.—In continuation of this department notification of even number, dated the 21st March, 1973, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is further pleased to extend the period of submission of report of the High Powered Committee (Transport) to the Himachal Pradesh Government upto 31st August, 1973.

Simla-2, the 11th July, 1973

No. 18-27/69-Tpt. II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 74 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to make the following order, namely:—

“The Sanjauli-Shogi bye-pass road of the National Highway No. 22 shall remain open only to one way traffic and all down-ward traffic for vehicles coming from above Sanjauli shall ply through this bye-pass:

Provided that this order shall not apply to those motor vehicles/services, which will have Simla as their starting and terminating point or to those goods carriers which are carrying goods meant for proper Simla or are to carry goods which cannot be taken from Simla for out station places”.

Simla-2, the 11th July, 1973

No. 4-11/68-Tpt.—In supersession of this department notification of even number, dated the 11th June, 1971, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order that the Regional Transport Officer, Dharamsala, shall be a non-member Secretary of the Regional Transport Authority, Himachal Pradesh, in respect of the matters pertaining to the following areas:—

Chamba, Kangra, Una and Hamirpur districts.

2. The Governor of Himachal Pradesh is further pleased to order that the Regional Transport Officer, Simla, will function as non-member Secretary of the Regional Transport Authority, Himachal Pradesh, in respect of the matters pertaining to the following areas:—

Simla, Solan, Kinnar, Lahaul and Spiti, Kulu, Bilaspur, Mandi and Sirmur districts.

By order,
H. S. DUBEY,
Secretary.

**भाग 2—वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और ज़िला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा अधिसूचनाएँ
इत्यादि**

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATIONS

Dharamsala, the 26th February, 1973

No. SEV/PLP-40/70.—Whereas it appears to the Governor, Himachal Pradesh that the land is required to be taken by the Government at public expense for a public purpose, namely for construction of pooled accommodation at Palampur, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

The declaration is made under the provision of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Collector, Land Acquisition Himachal Pradesh Public Works Department is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

A plan of the land may be inspected in the office of the Collector, Land Acquisition, Himachal Pradesh, Public Works Department Kangra.

SPECIFICATION		Tehsil: PALAMPUR	Area K. M.
District: KANGRA	Village		
	LOHNA	550	13
		551	8
		552	6
		553	19
			8
		Total	36 11

By order,
R. K. SARKAR,
Superintending Engineer, 5th Circle,
Dharamsala.

Simla-1, the 8th June, 1973

No. PWE-148-8/68-VI-ESII.—In exercise of the powers vested in me vide Rule 1.26 of Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules, Vol. I, 1971, the Executive Engineer, Una Division, Himachal Pradesh, P. W. D. Una, is hereby authorised to operate upon the Head “39—Miscellaneous Social and Development Organisation.” B. I. Town and Country Planning organisation.

S/d
Chief Engineer (South), Simla.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT
Solan, the 10th July, 1973

No. UM (Loan)/8367.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Jagdish Chand s/o Shri Ramji Dass, village Delgi

Post Office Barouri, Tehsil Kandaghat on 17-5-1971, under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Shri Jagdish Chand to pay to me the sum of Rs. 1,334 on or before 8-8-1973, and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the said sum of Rs. 1,334 is due from the said Shri Jagdish Chand and the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

Land 62 Bighas $\frac{1}{2}$ Biswa Khasra No. 19/55, Jamabandi 63-64, situated in Village. Delgi P.O. Barouri, Tehsil Kandaghat owned by Shri Nank Chand.

Sd/-

District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 10th July, 1973

No. UM (Loan) 8412.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Paul s/o Shri Salig Ram, Village and P.O. Nalagarh on 6-6-1972, under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Shri Paul to pay to me the sum of Rs. 1,666.68 and Rs. 153 on or before 15-6-1972 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 1,666.68 and Rs. 153 is due from the said Shri Paul and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

1. House No. 450 constructed on Khata Khatauni Nos. 212 and 213 in Sitalmali Gali, Nalagarh, P.O. Nalagarh, Tehsil Nalagarh, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh belonging to Shri Paul.

Sd/-

District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan-4, the 10th July, 1973

No. UM (Loan) 8400.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Suresh Kumar s/o Sh. Brij Lal, r/o Sabathu, Kandaghat tehsil, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh on the 19th May, 1973 under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Shri Suresh Kumar to pay to me the sum of Rs. 4,000 on or before the 17th June, 1973 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 4,000 is due from the said Shri Suresh Kumar and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

1. Sh. Radha Krishan s/o Sh. Shankar Dass, r/o Sabathu, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan (H.P.).

2. Sh. Brij Lal s/o Shri Raghuwar Dass, r/o Subathu, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan (H.P.).

Sd/-

District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 10th July, 1973

No. UM (Loan) 8395.—Whereas a notice was served on Smt. Raj Kumari w/o Sh. G. S. Behlvi, B.D.O. Dharampur, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan on the 13th May, 1971 under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Smt. Raj Kumari to pay to me the sum of Rs. 5,000 on or before the 8th August, 1973 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 5,000 is due from said Smt. Raj Kumari and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

1. Sh. Ambu Dutt s/o Sh. Janki Ram, Village Ghat, P. O. Saproon, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan (H.P.).
2. Sh. Devi Ram s/o Shri Dass Ram, V. Bhanet P. O. Gohatti, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan (H.P.).

Sd/-

District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 10th July, 1973

No. UM (Loan) 8392.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Devi Saran s/o Shri Bhagat Ram, Village and P. O. Kumarhatti, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan on the 23rd May, 1971, under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Shri Devi Saran to pay to me the sum of Rs. 550 on or before the 9th March, 1972, and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 550 is due from the said Shri Devi Saran and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

1. Shri Harnam Singh s/o Sh. Bir Singh, Village Haswan, P. O. Bahli, Tehsil Kandaghat.
2. Shri Shivo Ram s/o Shri Kaudu Ram, Village Lahaunji, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan.

Sd/-

District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 10th July, 1973

No. UM (Loan) 8377.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Surinder Nath s/o Shri Krishan Chand, r/o V. &

P. O. Nalagarh, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan, on 6-4-1972 under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Shri Surinder Nath to pay to me the sum of Rs. 333.34 & 65.15 on or before 28-4-1972, and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 666.67 & 180.00 is due from the said Shri Surinder Nath and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

Loan sanctioned on personal bond under credit worthiness scheme.

Sd/-
District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 10th July, 1973

No. UM (Loan)/8380.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Dilwan Singh s/o Shri Marki Singh, r/o V. & P. O. Subathu, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan on 14-4-1972 under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Shri Dilwan Singh to pay me the sum of Rs. 333.34 and Rs. 60.10 on or before 20-4-1972 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 266.67 and Rs. 180.00 is due from the said Shri Dilwan Singh and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

Nil. Sanctioned on personal bond under credit worthiness certificate scheme.

Sd/-
District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 10th July, 1973.

No. UM (Loan)/8324.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Chet Ram s/o Shri Gasaon Ram, r/o Sadar Bazar, Kasauli, Tehsil Kandaghat, on 15-6-1972 under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Shri Chet Ram to pay to me the sum of Rs. 333.34 and Rs. 68.00 on or before 3-1971 and whereas the said sum of Rs. 666.67 and Rs. 180.00 is due from the said Shri Chet Ram and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

Personal bond sanctioned under C. W. C.

Sd/-
District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 9th July, 1973

No. UM (Loan)/8327.—Whereas a notice was served on Sh. Duni Chand s/o Shri Gurdial Singh Carpenter, Village

and P. O. Kandaghat, District Solan on 30-12-1971 under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Shri Duni Chand to pay to me the sum of Rs. 334.00 and Rs. 180.00 on or before 8-1-1972 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 514 is due from the said Shri Duni Chand and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

Personal bond under C. W. C.

Sd/-
District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 10th July, 1973

No. UM (Loan)/8351.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Dharam Dass s/o Shri Ishwar Dass, Village Satrol, P. O. Mamlih, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan on 3-3-1972, under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Shri Dharam Dass to pay to me the sum of Rs. 3,500. on or before 3-4-1973 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 3,500 is due from the said Shri Dharam Dass and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

76 Bighas and 16 Bishwas of land comprised in Khetwati/Khatawni No. 69/136, 137, 138, 48, 156, 157, 219, 339, 353, 355, 356, 371, 381, 767, 769, 786, 787, 768/800, 806, 831, 832, 833, 840 & 796 situated in Village Satrol, Post Office Mamlih, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan, (H. P.).

Sd/-
District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 10th July 1973

No. UM (Loan)/8374.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Tareru Ram s/o Shri Khaku Ram, Shoe Makers, Village Kasauli, Tehsil Kandaghat, District Solan on 21-5-1973 under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to Himachal Pradesh calling upon the said Shri Tareru Ram to pay to me the sum of Rs. 1,000 on or before 16-6-1973 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 1,000 is due from the said Shri Tareru Ram and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

Nil. Personal bond sanctioned under C.W.C. Scheme.

Sd/-
District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 2nd July, 1973

No. US (Loan)/DIO/RIP/Gen/8030/181/65.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Mani Ram s/o Shri Chet Ram, village Batal, Tehsil Arki, District Solan on 25-10-1965 under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to the Himachal Pradesh, 1935 calling upon the said Shri Mani Ram son of Shri Chet Ram to pay to me the sum of Rs. 3,053 on or before 25-11-1965 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 3,052 is due from the said Shri Mani Ram and the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

1. House double storeyed consisting of three rooms standing on the land comprised Khasra No. 503/210/1, situated in village Arki, Tehsil Arki, District Solan.

2. House double storeyed consisting of five rooms standing on the land comprised Khasra No. 502/210/2, situated in village Arki.

Sd/-

District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Solan, the 28th June, 1973

No. US (Loan)/DIO/RIP/258/65/8012.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Devi Ram s/o Shri Gittoo, r/o village Jaikhari, Tehsil Arki, on 8-11-1967 under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 as modified and applied to the Himachal Pradesh, calling upon the said Shri Devi Ram s/o Shri Gittoo to pay to me the sum of Rs. 4,000 on or before 25-11-1967 and whereas the said sum has not been paid, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 4,000 is due from the said Shri Devi

Ram, and the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

1/4 share of land comprised in khasra Nos. 6, 9, 13 and 18 measuring 13 bighas and 11 biswas situated in village Jaikhari, owned by Shri Devi Ram and house double storeyed consisting in Village Jaikhari, Tehsil Arki, District Solan.

Sd/-

District Industries Officer, Solan.

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE PUNJAB STATE AID TO INDUSTRIES ACT, 1935

Hamirpur, the 28th June, 1973

No. Ind./L/851/3103.—Whereas a notice was served on Shri Shanker Dass s/o Jodha Ram, V. Sera Uperla, P.O. Sera, Tehsil Hamirpur on 9-2-1973 under section 23 of the Punjab State Aid to Industries Act, 1935 calling upon the said Shri Shanker Dass s/o Shri Jodha Ram to pay to me the sum of Rs. 434 plus interest thereon at the rate of 7½% per annum from 15-4-69 till date of final payment and whereas the said sum has not been paid in full. I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 434 with further interest at the rate of 7½% per annum from 15-4-1969 till date of final payment is due from the said Shri Shanker Dass and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

All assets present and to be hereinafter acquired by the loanee, whether the said assets or in further in his name including book debits, stocks, shares and premises, machinery and equipment whether existing or to be purchased with the aid of the loan or a part thereof and any other personal security of the loanee.

Sd/-

District Industries Officer, Hamirpur.

कार्यालय जिलाधीश, शिमला

अनुसूचिताएँ

शिमला, 16 अप्रैल, 1973

पृष्ठांकन में 0 22118-80.—हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम की धारा 9(1) तथा प्राम पंचायत नियम 1971, 19(ए)(1) के अन्तर्गत मनसन मारिणी के अनुसार पंचायतों ने स्त्री व दृग्जन सदस्यों (पंचों) का सहविकल्प किया है। अतः मैं वी ० वी ० टाइडन, जिलाधीश, शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश प्राम पंचायत नियम 19(2) के अन्तर्गत, सहविकल्पित पंचों (सदस्यों) के नाम सर्वसाधारण की सूचना के लिये प्रकाशित करता हूँ।

महविकल्पित पंचों को अपने पद का कार्यभार सम्मानने से पूर्व हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायत राज नियम 19(ए)(3) के अन्तर्गत धारा प्राम पंचायत, पंच पद की शपथ हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम की धारा 10 (1) के अनुसार दिलायेगा।

मारिणी

१० में ० नाम तहसील	नाम ग्राम पंचायत	महविकल्पित स्त्री पंच का नाम व पता	सहविकल्पित अनुसूचित जाति पंच का नाम व पता
१	२	३	४

५

शिमला

१. हलोग

श्रीमती गम देवी पत्नी श्री भगत राम, ग्राम चुनोट।

२. अमियाना

श्रीमती

श्री सुरत राम मुपुत्र श्री थौला, ग्राम छकड़याल।

1	2	3	4	5
3.	पुजारली (वैवेलिया)	श्रीमती विद्या वती पत्नी श्री शेर सिंह, श्री किरपा राम पुत्र बहादर राम, ग्राम ग्राम कमुम्पटी।	श्री किरपा राम पुत्र बहादर राम, ग्राम ग्राम ग्राम कमुम्पटी।	
4.	रक्षाना	श्रीमती कंकी देवी पत्नी श्री गोरखी राम, ग्राम चमरेग।	1-श्री अनन्त गम पुत्र ब्रस्तु, ग्राम विहार।	
5.	बठमाणा (जावरी)	श्रीमती चन्दू देवी पत्नी तुलसी राम, ग्राम जोजवी।	2-श्री मुख राम सुपुत्र जानू राम, ग्राम ग्रामाना।	
6.	जलेल	श्रीमती जीला देवी पत्नी श्री सेवक राम, ग्राम लगडू।	श्री भगतीया पुत्र श्री लगन् राम, ग्राम वेटवाता।	
7.	मायली	श्रीमती दरशनू देवी पत्नी श्री गणेश राम, ग्राम नलैल।		
8.	पिरन	श्रीमती कलावती पत्नी नेक राम, ग्राम टरहाई।		
9.	वायचडी	श्रीमती शक्ती देवी पत्नी श्री परम राम, ग्राम खनेत।	1-श्री वालक राम पुत्र काकू राम, ग्राम फगूला।	
10.	वाधी	श्रीमती रैनू देवी वैवा श्री आशा राम, ग्राम दनोखर।	2-श्री धनी राम पुत्र श्री आनंद गम, ग्राम वायचडी।	
11.	बलोग	श्रीमती जानकू पत्नी श्री कांशी राम, ग्राम नेरी।		
12.	नेरी	श्रीमती शान्ति देवी पत्नी श्री दीप राम, ग्राम भुग	1-श्री ब्रज लाल पुत्र श्री लाल, ग्राम डुगलू।	
13.	ढली	श्रीमती देवकू देवी पत्नी श्री देवी राम, ग्राम निहारी।	2-श्री चेत राम पुत्र बन्सी, ग्राम लखोटी।	
14.	मशोबरा	श्रीमती कमला देवी पत्नी श्री सन्त राम, घरैच।	1-श्री गणेश राम पुत्र ब्रकनू राम, ग्राम भूग।	
15.	थडी	श्रीमती शान्ति देवी पत्नी परमा नन्द, ग्राम दरारथलाक।	2-श्री ईश्वरी पुत्र सित्त ग्राम चैवली।	
16.	बलदैयां	श्रीमती केशरी पत्नी धनी राम, ग्राम बलदैयां		
17.	शकराह	श्रीमती लीला वती, ग्राम सैधन	श्री दुर्गा राम पुत्र किरपा राम, ग्राम पकार।	
18.	दरभोग	श्रीमती लच्छमी देवी सपुत्री श्री पीनू, ग्राम खीलवहांलिक।	श्री शुक्र राम, ग्राम निचली जाखडी	
19.	गलोट	श्रीमती रूपू देवी, ग्राम पनेश।		
20.	जनेडधाट	श्रीमती नारा देवी सपुत्री निहाल राम, ग्राम जनेडधाट।	श्री दिला राम पुत्र श्री लशुनु, ग्राम शावला, डाकखाना पनेश।	
21.	डुम्मी	श्रीमती.....		
22.	बैश	श्रीमती द्रोपती पत्नी वाकू राम, ग्राम बैश	श्री काकू राम पुत्र श्री मानु राम, ग्राम फरनिडा।	
23.	करयाली	श्रीमती गंगी पत्नी हीरू राम, ग्राम करयाली	श्री धनू राम पुत्र ख्याल, ग्राम बगोरा	
24.	धैणी	श्रीमती पारखती पत्नी श्री देवी राम राम हलोट।	श्री कुन्दन लाल पुत्र मुवरनू, ग्राम मण्डप।	
25.	सूनी		श्री पदमा ग्राम सेवण।	

1 2 3

4

5

25. पाहल	श्रीमती चन्द्र देवी पत्नी विजय राम, ग्राम भमोट ।
26. बसन्तपुर	श्रीमती फुलमा देवी पत्नी चुन्नी लाल, ग्राम श्री खूब राम पुत्र हरदू राम बसन्तपुर ।
27. हिमरी	श्रीमती राहनी देवी पत्नी उदम मिह, ग्राम श्री केशरु पुत्र शहजू, ग्राम गढ़ेरी बनूना ।

शिमला, 16 अप्रैल, 1973

संख्या 2185-99.—हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम की धारा 9 (1) तथा ग्राम पंचायत नियम, 1971, 19 (ए) (1) के अन्तर्गत संलग्न सारिणी के अनुसार पंचायतों ने स्त्री व हरीजन सदस्यों (पंचों) का सहविकल्प किया है अतः मैं, बी0 बी0 टप्पन, जिलाधीश, शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ग्राम पंचायत नियम 19 (2) के अन्तर्गत सहविलिप्त पंचों (सदस्यों) के नाम सर्वसाधारण की सूचना के लिये प्रकाशित करता हूँ ।

सहविकल्पित पंचों को अपने पद का कार्यभार सम्भालने से पूर्व हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज नियम, 19 (ए) (3) के अन्तर्गत प्रशान्त ग्राम पंचायत, पंच पद की शपथ हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम की धारा 10 (1) के अनुसार दिलायगा ।

सारिणी

क्रम संख्या	नाम तहसील	नाम ग्राम पंचायत	सहविकल्पित स्त्री पंच कानामव पता	सहविकल्पित अनुसूचित जाति पंच का नाम व पता
1	2	3	4	5
1. शियोग	1. चाण्ड		श्रीमती शिव्वी पत्नी श्री हरी राम, ग्राम भेंस्ती पगोवड़ा ।	श्री लिखिया राम पुत्र शिमना, ग्राम भेंस्ती
2. क्षार			श्रीमती बेमह देवी पत्नी आत्मा राम, ग्राम श्री घन्तू राम, पुत्र रमीया, ग्राम क्यार कलाहर ।	
3. टियानी			श्रीमती दुरमा पत्नी जैसिह, ग्राम व अलोटी	
4. वर्गण			श्रीमती बलमू देवी पत्नी झेंथु, ग्राम दशाना	श्री पनिया राम पुत्र जोगू, ग्राम रखपोत
5. वर्णेच			श्रीमती शोभा पत्नी शनिशा, ग्राम चवडानी	
6. बलधार			श्रीमती बोहरी देवी पत्नी झेरा, ग्राम बलधार ।	
7. कोट-शिलारु			श्रीमती शामू देवी पत्नी श्री ज्वाला दास, ग्राम लतियाना ।	
8. शडी			श्रीमती दामी देवी पत्नी श्री लक्ष्मी राम, ग्राम रोनी ।	श्री गन्दरा पुत्र चेकल ग्राम चकरौत, डाकघर मोहरी ।
9. वडोग			श्रीमती माठी देवी पत्नी हरी नन्द, ग्राम वडोग ।	1.—रोमण पुत्र शनिया, ग्राम सेंज, डाकघर ठेला । 2.—श्री नव्वु पुत्र जैमल, ग्राम वगोटी, डाकघर ठेला ।

शिमला, 16 अप्रैल, -1973

संख्या 2208-27.—हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम की धारा 9 (1) तथा ग्राम पंचायत नियम, 1971, 19 (ए) (1) के अन्तर्गत संलग्न सारिणी के अनुसार पंचायतों ने स्त्री व हरीजन सदस्यों (पंचों) का सहविकल्प किया है अतः मैं, बी0 बी0 टप्पन, जिलाधीश, शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ग्राम पंचायत नियम 19 (2) के अन्तर्गत सहविलिप्त पंचों (सदस्यों) के नाम सर्वसाधारण की सूचना के लिये प्रकाशित करता हूँ ।

सहविकल्पित पंचों को अपने पद का कार्यभार सम्भालने से पूर्व हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायत राज नियम 19 (ए) (3) के अन्तर्गत प्रधान ग्राम पंचायत, पंच पद की शपथ हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम की धारा 10 (1) के अनुसार दिलायेगा।

क्रमांक	नाम तहसील	नाम ग्राम पंचायत	सहविकल्पित स्त्री पंच का नाम व पता	सहविकल्पित अनुमतिन जानि पंच का नाम व पता
1	2	3	4	5
1.	रोहड़ (चौहारा ब्लॉक)	1. कलोटी	श्रीमती अनकली पत्नी श्री कुन्डल नाल श्री	
		2. पेखा	श्रीमती हिउदासी पत्नी मूजरू	1.—श्री मुटु पुत्र श्री मंगल, ग्राम दीउदी 2.—श्री हिउदासी, ग्राम पेखा।
		3. गांवसारी	श्रीमती कली पत्नी नालकी	श्री मोदरू मुपुत्र श्री धर्म, निवासी होइलो।
		4. चड्गांव	श्रीमती इन्दर मणी पत्नी हिउनाथ, निवासी चड्गांव।	श्री हिउनाथ बुना नेणे, निवासी चड्गांव।
		5. थाना	श्रीमती धनदेवी मुपुत्री कलमू, निवासी थाना।	
		6. जंगला	श्रीमती नेराधि देवी पत्नी मौजी राम, निवासी नन्डला।	
		7. सन्दारली	कु० भागपती सुपुत्री श्री गिरजा नन्द, निवासी वसारी, ड० घ० टिक्कर।	1—श्री चैन दास पुत्र श्री मुनजीउ, वासी चमराड़। 2—श्री गोरदास पुत्र श्री रननु, वासी चमराग, ड० घ० टिक्कर।
		8. टिकरी	श्रीमती स्यामी पत्नी सितल, निवासी टिकरी।	
		9. खरशाली	श्रीमती सुमित्रा देवी पत्नी भगत चन्द, ग्राम खरशाली।	श्री नंगरू पुत्र काशु, ग्राम खरशाली।
		10. धमवाड़ी	श्रीमती सेवा दामी पत्नी जालयू, ग्राम धमवाड़ी।	श्री मालपुर पुत्र माशु, ग्राम लाडोल।
		11. खशधार	श्रीमती बीमा देवी पत्नी श्री मुन्ही राम, ग्राम खशधार।	
		12. ढाक गांव	श्रीमती बरसी बेवा श्री जिगलू, ग्राम खरोट।	
		13. रोलह	श्रीमती गोकलू देवी बेवा श्री विजय नन्द, ग्राम चिचुवाड़ी।	
		14. घोली	श्रीमती मोदा, निवासी जबाल।	श्री विन्दु पुत्र चुन्सु, निवासी गरी।

शिमला, दिनांक 16 अप्रैल, 1973

पृष्ठांकन संख्या 2228-47.—हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम की धारा 9 (1) तथा ग्राम पंचायत नियम 1971, 19 (ए) (1) के अन्तर्गत संलग्न सारिणी के अनुसार पंचायतों न स्त्री व हरीजैन सदस्यों (पंचों) का सहविकल्प किया है, अतः मैं, वी० बी० टण्डन, जिलाधीश, शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश ग्राम पंचायत नियम 19 (2) के अन्तर्गत सहविकल्पित पंचों (सदस्यों) के नाम सर्वसाधारण की सूचना के लिए प्रकाशित करता हूँ।

सहविकल्पित पंचों को अपने पद का कार्यभार सम्भालने से पूर्व हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायत राज नियम 19 (ए) के अन्तर्गत प्रधान ग्राम पंचायत, पंच पद की शपथ हिमाचल प्रदेश पंचायती राज अधिनियम की धारा 10 (1) के अनुसार दिलायेगा।

सारिणी

क्रम संख्या	नाम तहसील	नाम ग्राम पंचायत	महविकल्पित स्त्री पंच का नाम व पता	महविकल्पित अतू सूचित जाति पंच का नाम व पता
1	2	3	4	5
1.	ज़व्वन	1. गंवी	श्रीमती मुन्नी देवी निवासी थेला, डा० घ० मान्दल ।	श्री हरिमन पुत्र सहावू, निवासी घुन्सा, डा० घ० ढाडो घुन्सा ।
2.	नन्दपुर		श्रीमती गंगी पत्नी श्री रण भाद्र सिंह ग्राम मलोग, डा० घ० आन्टी ।	1—श्री पारगी पुत्र थंकू, ग्राम शलाड, डा० घ० आन्टी । 2—श्री जम्बी पुत्र लीऊ, ग्राम मुनोली, डा० घ० आन्टी ।
3.	राजपुरी (अन्ती)		श्रीमती सुमित्रा पत्नी प्रताप सिंह, ग्राम वरमाना ।	1—श्री जोगी पुत्र भुजरू, ग्राम ज्ञालडी । 2—श्री हरू पुत्र कानियां ग्राम भटाड ।
4.	म० त० कोटखाड़ी	4. हिमरी	श्रीमती कौशल्या पत्नी जिया लाल, ग्राम हिमरी ।	श्रीमती कृष्णा पत्नी श्री सही राम ग्राम संन्ताडी, स० त० कोटखाड़ी ।
		5. देवगढ़	श्रीमती मुन्नी देवी पुत्री कलिया, ग्राम वासी ।	1—श्री चरैऊ पुत्र पामू, ग्राम वासी, डा० घ० घुम्सा । 2—श्री सरेया राम पुत्र झैकर, ग्राम वासी, डा० घ० घुम्सा ।
		6. दरकोटी	श्रीमती कृष्णा देवी पत्नी श्री सही राम ग्राम संन्ताडी, स० त० कोटखाड़ी ।	श्री शिवराम पुत्र बरिया, ग्राम कुड्से, स० त० कोटखाड़ी ।
	ज़व्वन	7. शीली (पंचागाव)	श्रीमती टूनो देवी पत्नी श्री मेहर सिंह, ग्राम भगोली ।	1—श्री खेवर दास पुत्र ख्यारू, ग्राम वररोली । 2—श्री रनू राम पुत्र सहजू राम, ग्राम वाचंली
		8. वरथाटा	श्रीमती सरजू देवी पत्नी दया राम, ग्राम मुनटा, डाकखाना कठासू ।	श्री शोभ राम पुत्र सुन्दर, ग्राम केलवी ।
		9. धार	कु० दुरगा देवी मुपुत्री राम सरन दास, ग्राम व डा० घ० धार ।	श्री शैया राम पुत्र श्री सालू राम, ग्राम धार ।
		10. सावडा	श्रीमती मैना देवी ग्राम ब्रटाड, डा० घ० सावडा ।	1—श्री शोभनू, ग्राम कठासू । 2—श्री रुक्म राम, ग्राम क्यार हाटकोटी
		11. कोट	श्रीमती शाम पत्नी श्री मैनी ग्राम कोयना ।	
		12. अमटान	श्रीमती घदी पत्नी चन्द राम, ग्राम ज्ञालटा	1—श्री कान्हा पुत्र गोशान्दू, ग्राम ज्ञगटान
		13. वाधी	श्रीमती गंगोत्री देवी पत्नी ।	
		14. क्यारी	श्रीमती हीरा देवी शर्मा सुपुत्री श्री चरिया राम, ग्राम भड़ला ।	1—श्री रंजी राम पुत्र शौकिया राम, ग्राम जसला । 2—श्री पलस राम पुत्र चादनू, ग्राम खोलवी ।
		15. क्यारवी (चौरी)	श्रीमती चन्द्रमणी कंवर उर्फ जवालटी पत्नी श्री लाला सिंह कवर, ग्राम क्यारवी ।	1—श्री बैलक राम उर्फ जैवी पुत्र श्री कालसी राम, ग्राम भगाल ।

भाग 3—अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर्त समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाइनेन्शल कमिश्नर तथा कमिश्नर आफ इन्कम-टैक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि

HOME DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATIONS

Simla-2, the 2nd July, 1973

No. 1-6/71-Home.—In exercise of the powers conferred by proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission obtained

vide their letter No. 1-21/72-PSC, dated the 13th November, 1972, is pleased to make the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the post of Police Radio Officer in the Police Department, Himachal Pradesh, as in the enclosed Annexure.

2. These rules shall come into force from the date of issue of this notification.

RECRUITMENT AND PROMOTION RULES PERTAINING TO THE POST OF POLICE RADIO OFFICER IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH

Name of post	No. of post	Scale of pay	Classification	Whether selection post or non-selection post	Age for direct recruits	Minimum educational and other qualifications for direct recruits	Whether age and educational qualifications prescribed for direct-recruits will apply in case of promotees
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Police Radio Officer (Deputy Supdt. of Police, Himachal Pradesh,	One	Rs. 400-30-550/40-750/50-1200.	Class I (Gazetted).	Selection	21 years to 35 years	Essential. (i) Graduate in Tele-communication/Radio Engineering/Technology or equivalent. (ii) 3 years practical and administrative experience in a major Tele-communication Organisation.	No

OR

(i) M. Sc. (Physics or Applied Physics) with Wireless/Electronics as a special subject.

(ii) 3 years practical and administrative experience in a major Tele-communication Organisation.

OR

(i) An Army Officer of the rank of Captain, Corps of Signals, Ministry of Defence possessing the Signal Officers Degree (Engg. course in Tele-communication) or equivalent.

Name of post	Period of probation, if any	Method of Recruitment whether by direct recruitment or by promotion/transfer and percentage of the vacancies to be filled by various methods	In case of recruitment by promotion/deputation/transfer, grade from which promotion/deputation / transfer to be made	If D. P. C. exist, what is its composition	Circumstance under which Public Service Commission is to be consulted in making recruitment	
					1	9
Police Radio Officer (Deputy Supdt. of Police, Himachal Pradesh,)	2 years subject to such further extension for a period not exceeding one year as may be ordered or the competent authority in special circumstances and for reasons to be recorded in writing.	By direct recruitment failing which on deputation of an Army Officer of the rank of Captain from Corps of Signals.	By deputation of an officer of the rank of Captain from the Ministry of Defence Corps of Signals	Departmental Promotion Committee to be presided over by the Chairman by the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission or a member thereof to be nominated by him.	As required under the law.	

NOTE. 1. Upper age limit for direct recruitment will not be applicable to candidates already in service of Himachal Pradesh Government.

2. Upper age limits also relaxable for Scheduled Castes/Tribes candidates and other categories of persons to the extent permissible under the general or special orders of the Himachal Pradesh Government.

3. Age and qualifications relaxable at the discretion of the Commission in the case of candidates otherwise well qualified.

4. Provisions under Col. 10 and 11 are to be revised by the Government in consultation with H. P. Public Service Commission as and when the number of posts under Col. 2 are increased or decreased.

5. Where the Government is of the opinion that it is necessary expedient to do so, it may by order for reasons to be recorded in writing and in consultation with the H. P. Public Service Commission, relax any of the provisions of these rules with respect to any class or category of service of persons.

Simla-2, the 2nd July, 1973

No.14-2/64-Home.—In exercise of the powers conferred by proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission obtained vide their letter No. 1-21/72-PSC, dated the 12th January,

1973, is pleased to make the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police (Cryptography) in the Police Department, Himachal Pradesh, as in the enclosed Annexure.

2. These rules shall come into force from the date of issue of this notification.

RECRUITMENT AND PROMOTION RULES FOR THE POST OF DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (CRYPTOGRAPHY) CLASS I (GAZETTED) POLICE DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH

Name of the post	No. of posts	Classification	Scale of pay	Whether selection post or non-selection post	Age for direct recruits	Minimum educational and other qualifications for direct recruits	Whether age and educational qualifications prescribed for direct recruits will apply in case of promotees
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Deputy Supdt. of Police (Cryptography).	One	Class I (Gazetted).	Rs. 400-30-550-40-750/50-1200.	Selection	21 years to 35 years.	(a) Essential: (i) Graduate in Tele-communication/ Radio Engineering/Technology or equivalent. (ii) 2 years practical experience in a major Tele-communication Organisation and having aptitude for crypto work.	No. .

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					OR		
					(i) M. Sc. (Physics or applied physics) with Electronics as a special subject.		
					(ii) 2 years' practical experience in a major Tele-communication organisation and having aptitude for crypto work.		
					OR		
					(i) An Ex-Army Officer of the rank of Lieut. or above, Corps of Signals, Ministry of Defence.		
					(ii) Two years, experience in tele-communication in the Army.		
					(b) <i>Desirable:</i>		
					(i) Should have undergone the Cipher training up to advanced level.		
Name of the post	Period of probation, if any	Method of recruitment whether by direct recruitment or by promotion/deputation/transfer and percentage of vacancies to be filled by various methods	In case of recruitment by promotion/deputation/transfer, grade from which promotion / deputation/transfer to be made	If a D. P. C. exists what is its composition.	Circumstances in which Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission is to be consulted		
8	9	10	11	12	13		

Deputy Supdt. of Police (Cryptography).	2 years' subject to such further extension for a period not exceeding one year as may be ordered by the competent authority in special circumstances and for reasons to be recorded in writing.	By direct recruitment failing which by deputation.	<i>Deputation:</i> An Army Officer of the rank of Lieut. or above from Ministry of Defence Corps of Signals with 2 years experience in tele-communication in the Army.	Departmental Promotion Committee to be presided over by the Chairman, Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission or a member thereof to be nominated by him.	As required under the law.
---	---	--	--	--	----------------------------

Note.—1 Upper age limit for direct recruits will not be applicable to candidates already in the service of the Himachal Pradesh Government.

- Upper age-limits also relaxable for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes candidates and other categories of persons to the extent permissible under the general or special orders of the Himachal Pradesh Government.
- Age and qualifications relaxable at the discretion of the Commission in the case of candidates otherwise well qualified or in case of eligible ex-Army Officers of the rank of Lieut. or above.
- Provision under Cols. 10 and 11 are to be revised by the Government in consultation with Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission as and when the number of post under Col. 2 are increased or decreased.
- Where the Government is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient to do so, it may by order for reasons to be recorded in writing and in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, relax any of the provisions of these rules with respect to any class or category of service or persons.

**भाग 4—स्थानीय स्वायतः शासन म्यूनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड और टाउन एरिया
तथा पंचायत विभाग**

पंचायती राज विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-4, 18 अप्रैल, 1973

संख्या 28-8/69 पंच.—गज्जपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश, उन शक्तियों के अन्तर्गत जोकि उन्हें हिमाचल प्रदेश, पंचायती राज अधिनियम, 1968 (वर्ष 1970 का 19वां अधिनियम) की धारा 4 की उपधारा 2 तथा 5 की उप-धारा 1 के अन्तर्गत प्राप्त है, सम अधिसूचना दिनांक 1 जुलाई, 1972 में आंशिक रूप में संशोधन करते हैं तथा क्र 0 से 24, 14, 35 पर दिखाई गई ग्राम सभाओं का निम्न प्रकार से पुनर्गठन तथा इनकी स्थापना करते हैं:—

जिला सोलन

खण्ड: धर्मपुर

६

क्र० से ०	नाम	मौजूदा ग्राम	मौजूदा ग्राम सभा में शामिल समस्त ग्राम सभा में अपवर्जन होने वाले गावों	विवरण
१	२	३	४	५
		गावों के नाम	के नाम	

1. ककड़हटी	(1) ककड़हटी (2) रड़ियाना (3) जाडला (4) मण्डप (5) देवथल (6) तलौना (7) जयारा (8) शील (9) च्यावन (10) ठेठों (11) शरड़े की नाली (12) दयोठी (13) धनौरी (14) धार ब्राह्मण (15) धार कनैतां (16) सेरी (17) भाड़ती	(1) धनौरी (2) धार ब्राह्मण (3) धारा कनैतां (4) सेरी (5) भाड़ती	कोष्ठ संख्या 4 के नीचे दिखाये गये गांव नई ग्राम सभा भाड़ती में मिलाये गये।
2. देलगी	(1) दड़ील (2) शावर (3) खायरी (4) सेल (5) भुम्बाल (6) खेर (7) रिहाणा (8) मालगी (9) मांगना (10) नेरी (11) कुम्हारड़ी (12) रखोग (13) कून (14) नैन (15) कोठी (16) जंगल कोठी (17) रुग	(1) रखोग (2) मालगी	कोष्ठ संख्या 4 के नीचे दिखाये गये गांव नई ग्राम सभा भाड़ती में मिलाये गये।

1	2	3	4	5
3. सड़याना	(1) गधों (2) छपरौली (3) मड़ का नाल (4) जीहर (5) ओलगी (6) शडीयाना (7) कोठी (8) पनूह छिक्करान (9) पनूह कुठाला (10) टांगरी (11) मझौलटी (12) पन्जियाना (13) रजीमू (14) चपला (15) बरयाडी	(1) मझौलटी (2) बस्याडी (3) टांगरी (4) पन्जियाना (5) रजीमू		कोष्ठ मंस्या 4 नीचे दिखाये गये नहीं गाव नहीं ग्राम सभा भाड़ती में मिलाये गये ।

प्रिय पाल सिंह,
अवर सचिव ।

LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATIONS

SPECIFICATION

District: SIMLA

Tehsil: SIMLA

Area

Village 1	Khasra No: 2	Big. 3	Bis. 4
KALAHLI	1	1	0
	16	0	10
	17	1	0
	22	0	5
	23	0	2
	24	1	0
	129	0	10
	130	0	5
	131	5	0
TIPRA	1	0	8
	73	1	12
	78	0	4
	75	0	5
	79	0	3
	85	0	4
	84	0	7
	88	2	15
	82	5	2
	95	0	12
	98	2	0
	288	0	6
	135	0	7
	254	1	10
	260	2	0
	261	3	0
	285	0	19
	290	2	0
	291	1	5
	262		

Whereas it appears to the Governor, Himachal Pradesh that the land is required to be taken urgently by the Government, for the Simla Municipal Corporation, in the interest of public service*. It is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required urgently for the said* purpose.

2. The case being of urgent nature, it is directed under the provision of section 17(4) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, that the provisions of section 5-A(2) of the said Act shall not apply to this case.

3. This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 read with section 17(4) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Collector, Simla is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

4. A plan of the land may be inspected in the office of the Collector, Simla district, Simla.

It is also hereby directed under section 17 of sub-section (1) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 that the Collector may on the expiration of fifteen days from the publication of the notice under section 9, sub-section (1) of the said Act, take possession of the said land.

1	2	3	4
BADOH	312	5	0
	320	2	0
	321	3	0
	331	2	0
MALOOHAT	123	4	0
	125	2	0
	127	2	0
	129	2	0
	130	3	0
	130/1	0	1
	144	2	0
	145	0	2
	146	0	1
	147	0	2
	148	1	0
	149	0	6
	150	3	0

By order,
P. K. MATTOO,
Secretary.

Simla-2, the 20th June, 1973

No. 14-33/73-LSG. Whereas it appears to the Governor, Himachal Pradesh that the land is required to be taken urgently by the Municipal Committee, Una at public expense for a public purpose, namely for the construction of Water Supply of Una Town, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required urgently for the above purpose.

2. - The case being of urgent nature, it is directed under the provision of section 17(4) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, that the provision of section 5-A of the said Act shall not apply to this case.

3. This declaration is made under the provision of section 6 read with section 17(4) of the Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provision of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Una, is hereby directed to make order for the acquisition of the said land.

4. A plan of the land may be inspected in the office of the Collector, Una district, Una.

5. It is also hereby directed under section 16 sub-section (1) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 that the Land Acquisition Collector, may on the expiration of fifteen days from the publication of the notice under section 9 sub-section (1) of the said Act, take possession of the said land.

SPECIFICATION

District: UNA

Tehsil: UNA

Village	Khasra No.	Area	
UNA	2810/3 4509/2830/1	K.	M.
		6 4	10 18
		(Banjar Qadim)	(Banjar Qadim)

P. K. MATTOO,
Secretary.

भाग 5—वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन

PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C. P. C.

In the Court of Sh. A. L. Vaidya, Senior Sub-Judge
Kangra at Dharamsala
Civil Suit No. 341/70

Shri Garib Dass (Plaintiff) Vs. Smt. Kaulan Devi and
others (Defendants).

Versus

1. Smt. Rani d/o Kanshi Ram at present wife of Pandit Bhim Sain, Civil Lines, Dharamsala, Tehsil Kangra, District Kangra, H. P. (Defendant No. 3).

Whereas the plaintiff (Shri Garib Dass) has filed a suit for recovery of Rs. 3,500 in this Court on 24-12-1970 in this behalf summons/notices to the above named defendant were issued several times to her, but she is not in service or have concealed herself. It has been to the satisfaction of this Court that the above named defendant cannot be served through ordinary way, hence this proclamation under order 5, rule 20 C. P. C. is issued against her that she should attend this

Court on 10-9-1973 at 10 A. M. personally or through pleader failing which *ex parte* proceedings shall be taken against her.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court on
7-7-1973.

A. L. VAIDYA,
Senior Sub-Judge.

PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C. P. C.

In the Court of Shri A. L. Vaidya, Senior Sub-Judge,
Kangra at Dharamsala

Civil Suit No. 39 of 1972

Shri Jagat Ram (Plaintiff)
Shri Kedar Nath etc. Versus (Defendants).

Versus—1. Kidar Nath, 2. Prem Nath, 3. Ravinder Nath sons of Dina Nath son of Jai Dev, residents of Haripur Tehsil Dehra, District Kangra (Defendants).

Whereas the plaintiff (Jagat Ram) has filed a suit for possession in this Court against the above named defendants. In this behalf summons to them have been issued several times, but they are evading the service or have concealed themselves. It has been proved to the satisfaction of this Court that the above named defendants cannot be served through ordinary way, hence this proclamation under order 5, rule 20, C.P.C. is issued against them that they should appear in this Court on 13-9-1973 personally or through pleader failing which *ex parte* proceedings shall be taken against them.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court to-day the 1st January, 1973.

A. L. VAIDYA,
Senior Sub-Judge.

Seal.

**PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20,
C.P.C.**

**In the Court of Shri Surendra Prakash M.A.LL.B.
Senior Sub-Judge, District Simla, Himachal Pradesh**

Suit No. 157/1972

Shri Jagat Ram Sud Lower Bazar, Simla (Plaintiff.)

भाग 6—भारतीय राजपत्र
GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT
C-SECTION
NOTIFICATION

Simla-2, the 2nd, February, 1973

No. 11-3/72-GA-C.—The Order No. 28/1/72, dated the 1st November, 1972, on 28/1/72, dated the 1st November, 1972, and No. 28/1/72-F(P) dated the 16th Novem-

Copy of order No. F. No. 2-8/1/72, dated the 1st November, 1972 from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, New Delhi-1 to the Chief Secretaries of all State Governments (Except Nagaland and U. P.) (Secretary, Home Department in the case of West Bengal) and etc. etc.

S.O.—In pursuance of the Directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule to the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No. S.O. 3792, dated the 2nd December, 1966, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed hereto in all its/their language versions to be of the description specified against it/each in column 6 of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film
1.	INR No. 1253	204.21 Metres	Films Division Government of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.		Film dealing with news and current events.
2.	Indian Muslims and their religious observances.	603.00 Metres	-do-		“Documentry Film”
3.	My son better than me.	432.20 Metres	-do-		Film intended for educational purposes.

Versus

Shri Banarsi Dass Mahajan Proprietor of Letters Printers Press resident of Sanjauli, Simla-6 (Defendant).

SUIT FOR RECOVERY Rs. 1,443.59 P-15A

To

Shri Banarsi Dass Mahajan,
Proprietor of Letters Printers, Press,
Sanjauli, Simla-6.

Whereas in the above noted case summons for service of the defendant Shri Banarsi Dass have been issued many times but have been received un-executed with the report that the defendant Shri Banarsi Dass is evading the service of summons and the Court is satisfied that the service upon the defendant Shri Banarsi Dass cannot be effected in ordinary way. Hence the defendant Shri Banarsi Dass is hereby informed through proclamation under order 5, rule 20, C.P.C. that he should appear in this court on 25-7-73 at 10 A.M. personally or through his counsel otherwise case will be heard *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court this 17th day of July, 1973.

SURENDRA PRAKASH,
Senior Sub-Judge,
Simla and Kinnaur districts.

Seal.

इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन

ber, 1972, issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, New Delhi and published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) are hereby republished for information of the general public

N. C. KAUSHAL,
Under Secretary.

Copy of Order No. 28/1/72-F(P), dated the 16th November, 1972 from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, New Delhi to the Chief Secretaries of all State Governments (Except Nagaland and U.P.) (Secretary, Home Department in the case of West Bengal) and etc. etc.

S. O. In pursuance of the directions issued under the provision of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule to the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No. S. O. 3792, dated the 2nd December, 1966, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed hereto in all its/their language versions to be of the description specified against it/each in column 6 of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	INR No. 1254	198.73 Metres.	Films India, 24-Peddar	Division Government of Roed Bombay-26.	Film dealing with news and current events.
2.	INR No. 1255	206.35 Metres.		-do-	-do-
3.	Festival with a Difference.	206.46 Metres.	Sh. M. Raju Assistant Medical Officer, Department of Family Planning, Ministry of Health and Family Planning, New Delhi.		Film intended for educational purposes.
4.	Azadi Pachees Baras ki.	.271.00 Metres.	Miss Vimla 11, Ram Shyam Nivas Sitala Devi Temple Road, Mahim-16.		-do-

[F. No. 28/1/72-F(P) App: 1735.]

Copy of Order No. F. No. 28/1/72 dated 1st November, 1972 from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, New Delhi-1, to the Chief Secretaries of all State Governments (except Nagaland and U.P.) (Secretary Home Department in the case of West Bengal) and etc. etc.

S.O. In pursuance of the directions issued under the provision of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule to the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No. S.O. 3792, dated the 2nd December, 1966, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the films specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed hereto in all its/their language versions to be of the description specified against it/each in column 6 of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Lenth 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the producer	Whether a Scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Ram Ganga Project	369.00 metres	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24—Peddar Road, Bombay—26		Film intended for educational purposes
2.	The Elephant	79.25 ..		-do-	"Documentary" Film.
3.	Nectar	310.00 ..		-do-	-do-
4.	Arunachal	518.00 ..		-do-	Film intended for educational purposes

[F. No. 28/1/72-App.1731.]

भाग 7—भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) को वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं
तथा अन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं

गृन्थ

अनुपूरक

शन्य

PART I

ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT RESOLUTION

Simla-2, the 6th April, 1973

Redd—REPORT OF THE INQUIRY COMMISSION ABOUT THE PURCHASE OF LAND BUILDINGS AND TREES OF KAMLAHI ESTATE, 1972.

OBSERVATIONS:

No. 7-6/73-AH (Sectt).—The Government of Himachal Pradesh place on record their appreciation and gratitude for the ability and industry with which Mr. Justice Ch. t Ram Thakur of Himachal Pradesh High Court constituting a Single Member Commission of Enquiry, examined the important issues which had been referred to the Commission, which involved the collection, examination and shifting of the voluminous evidence placed before the Commission.

2. The Government, further, take the opportunity to record their appreciation of the assistance rendered by all who appeared before, and assisted the Commission in ascertaining facts.

3. The conclusions of the Commission have been accepted by the Government, and suitable action is being taken, where found necessary.

ORDER

ORDERED THAT the resolution together with the Commission's Report be published in the Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra for general information.

ORDERED ALSO THAT that copies of the Resolution Report be released for sale to the public.

By order,
K.C. PANDEYA,
Secretary.

BEFORE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHET RAM THAKUR COMMISSION OF INQUIRY (KAMLAHI ESTATE DISTRICT MAHASU) UNDER SUB-SECTION (1) OF SECTION 3 OF THE COMMISSIONS OF INQUIRY ACT, 1952.

REPORT:

By a notification, dated the 1st of November, 1971, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, in exercise of the powers vested in him under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1952, appointed me as the Commission of Inquiry to enquire into comprehensively and report, *inter alia*, on the following issues in relation to the purchase of land, buildings and trees of Kamlahi Estate, within six months of the date of the notification:—

1. transfer of land as Nautor;
2. payment of compensation for the trees;
3. valuation of the estate for determining the compensation payable; and
4. the responsibility which can be fixed upon the officials concerned for irregularities, if any, which this enquiry may reveal.

Later, by another notification, dated the 29th April, 1972, the period for submitting the report was extended by four months. The enquiry was started immediately after the issuance of the notification aforementioned. The

Deputy Commissioner, Mahasu, the Deputy Secretary, Animal Husbandry Department, the Chief Conservator of Forests and the Director, Animal Husbandry Department were ordered to supply to the Commission all the relevant records along with the names of the officials who had dealt with the transaction at various levels. In compliance with the above orders of the Commission, all the concerned departments sent the relevant records to the Commission and also gave names of the officials concerned.

Procedure conducting the enquiry.—After considering all the facts, I ordered the enquiry would be public and not in camera. Notices were issued to all the Officers/Officials individually, who had dealt with this case or were acquainted with the facts, to appear before the Commission and to submit written statement, duly supported by an affidavit. Similar notices had been issued to the Director of Horticulture, Himachal Pradesh, the Deputy Commissioner, Mahasu and other departments who were concerned with the enquiry. Notices were also issued to the Government of Himachal Pradesh through the Chief Secretary and to the Government of India through the Ministry of Home Affairs. The Advocate General, Himachal Pradesh, had also been served with a notice of the proceedings. In order to give wide publicity to the enquiry, notices had been published in Hindustan Times, the Tribune, the Hindi Milap and Vir Pratap (Hindi Edition). It will thus be seen that the Commission took all possible care to get the entire material relating to the transaction before it and also all those persons who may have been interested in the enquiry, were informed of the same. In response to the notices issued, several written statements supposed by affidavits were filed by the various Officers, whether serving or retired, before the Commission. Particular attention in this behalf be drawn to the affidavits filed by Shri G.C. Negi, Director of Animal Husbandry, Shri S. K. Alok, Deputy Commissioner, Mahasu, Shri Harbans Singh, Director of Horticulture, Shri T.S. Bajaj, Executive Engineer, Central Public Works Department, Shri K. C. Pandeya, Secretary Animal Husbandry, Forest and Horticulture Department, Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shri Raghbir Singh, retired Deputy Commissioner, Shri C. L. Kapila, retired Commissioner and Shri T. S. Negi, retired Chief Secretary Himachal Pradesh Government besides other officers of the Forest and the Horticulture Department. Rani Girja Devi of Bhadri, vendor of the Kamlahi Estate (property in dispute) also filed an affidavit through her special attorney, Shri Rudra Prasad. Later, after the Commission had examined all the affidavits and the records submitted before it, seven persons, namely, Shri K.C. Pandeya, Shri G.C. Negi, Shri Harbans Singh, Shri T.S. Bajaj, Thakur Raghbir Singh, Shri C. L. Kapila and Shri S.K. Alok were examined on oath orally. The learned Advocate-General has been appearing throughout the proceedings before the Commission. The Departments of Horticulture and Forests have been represented by Shri R.K. Gupta, Advocate. Rani Girja Devi of Bhadri has been represented by her special attorney and at the stage of the arguments Shri Bishan Singh, Advocate appeared on her behalf.

History of the Kamlahi Estate and its suitability for establishing a Central Poultry Farm.—The property in question known as 'Kamlahi Estate' and is situated in village Bihar, Kasumpti tehsil, District Mahasu. It is not disputed that the entire property except 9 bighas 9 biswas of land comprised in Khasra Nos. 125 and 56 belonged to Rani Sahiba Bhadri. The

property originally belonged to the Associated Hotels of India, Ltd. and it was purchased in the year 1926 by one Mrs. Batesman for Rs. 16,000. Rani Girja Devi of Bhadri purchased this estate from Mr. Batesman, minor son of Mrs. Batesman, for a sum of Rs. 7,000 in the year 1940. The ownership rights in the land measuring 9 bighas 9 biswas and comprised in Khasra Nos. 125 and 56 were granted to Rani Sahiba Girja Devi in the year 1956 by the Himachal Pradesh Government under rule 21 of the rules governing the grant of nautors in Himachal Pradesh, on payment of Nazrana, amounting to Rs. 47.25 paise and the price of trees amounting to Rs. 41.42 paise. This land was owned by the Government prior to the date when the ownership rights were granted to Rani Sahiba of Bhadri.

According to Rani Sahiba Bhadri, she planted a number of fruit trees on the land owned by her and had spent a sum of about Rs. 33,000 on its improvement during the several years that she has been the owner of this property. A regular poultry farm was being run in the Kamlahi Estate and according to Mr. S.G. Iyer, Head of the Division of Poultry Research, I.V.R.I. Izatnagar, Government of India, the Kamlahi Estate was the most suitable area where a Central Poultry Farm could be set up by the Animal Husbandry Department. This gentleman had visited various places in and around Simla with a view to establish a Central Poultry Farm which had been sanctioned by the Government of India and he had submitted a report to this effect on the 10th of March, 1955, Exhibit CW 2/B, according to this report there was a total of 9 permanently built hen houses and runs with accommodation for easily 1000 hens and the various equipments for nesting, perching, feeding, watering, etc. The place had perennial water supply and extensive runs for the birds well cultivated and maintained. The main building had three bed rooms with attached bath rooms, drawing room, dining room, kitchen and pantry and attached to the abine were two out-houses, and in both of them there were 11 rooms. There was also a cattle-shed. Dr. Iyer also stated in his report that grains and greens required for poultry could be grown in the estate. Mr. Iyer was of the opinion that a Central Poultry Farm could be set up with immediate effect by the Animal Husbandry Department in the Kamlahi Estate and he recommended that steps should be taken to set up the Central Poultry Farm at once. It was in pursuance of the report of Mr. Iyer that the Kamlahi Estate was taken by the Himachal Pradesh Government on lease for a period of two years with effect from 22nd of February, 1956 on a rental of Rs. 2,600 per annum and a Central Poultry Farm was established there. The property was again taken on lease for a period of another five years with effect from 22nd of February, 1958 to 21st of February, 1963. It is stated in the affidavit of Shri G. C. Negi, Director of Animal Husbandry, that during the Second Five Year Plan it was decided by the Government of India that the Central Poultry Farm at Kamlahi be converted into one of the five Regional Poultry Farms, that were to be established in the country. Two experts, namely, Mr. Hall Brook and Dr. Tulsa Ram from Ministry of Food and Agriculture Government of India visited the Kamlahi Estate in June, 1957 to assess the suitability of the Kamlahi Estate for this purpose. They suggested certain improvements and tendered the opinion that Kamlahi Estate was the most suitable place for establishing a Regional Poultry Farm and the same was established with effect from 1st of April 1959. In view of the report of Dr. Tulsa Ram, Exhibit CW/2/C, the Government of India advised the

Himachal Pradesh Government that the Kamlahi Estate be either purchased outright, or it be taken on long lease. The Government of Himachal Pradesh accepted the advice and the work of evaluating the property started. It is note-worthy that the work of evaluating the property was entrusted to the Central P.W.D. under the orders of the Ministry of Home Affairs Government of India, vide D.O. letter No. D. 1959/59-Him, dated the 20th of August, 1959, from the Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, addressed to the Secretary, Animal Husbandry, Himachal Pradesh Administration.

Evaluation of the Kamlahi Estate made by the C.P.W.D.— The above facts are not disputed on either side. These facts would reveal that it was the Government that was keen to purchase the property. The evaluation made by the Central P.W.D. of the various buildings, the trees and land is as under:—

1. Main build- ing.	Rs. 8202	As assessed by the CPWD.
2. Porches or varandah.	Rs. 1,196	-do-
3. Out-houses	Rs. 5,759	-do-
4. Poultry Pens	Rs. 12,280	-do-
5. External Services.	Rs. 2,509	-do-
6. Cost of trees.	Rs. 11,700	-do-
7. Land	Rs. 64,729	-do-
Total ..	Rs. 1,06,375	

Deductions:

(i) Cost of re- pairs.	Rs. 2,626	Rs. 5,155
(ii) Cost of ex- ternal services	Rs. 2,509	
Net Total paid		Rs. 1,01,240

The net value arrived at is Rs. 1,01,240. The Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture gave the sanction as the Regional Poultry Farm was financed on cent per cent basis by the Ministry of Food and Agriculture. The expenditure was debited to the "Central Head of Account-95-A-Outlay Schemes of Agriculture Improvement and Research-A-22-Original-Poultry Farm". The sanction was given by the Government of India somewhere in the month of February, 1962 as will be clear from the letter (Exhibit C.W. 2/M) from the Under-Secretary to the Government of India to the Pay and Accounts Officer, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, New Delhi. One significant fact that requires mention is that after the sanction had been conveyed to Rani Girja Devi, she refused to sell the property to the Government on the ground that her husband was the Lieutenant Governor of Himachal Pradesh and she was not willing to sell the property so long as her husband continued to be the Head of the Himachal Pradesh Administration. Later, however, when she was given to understand that the property was being purchased by the Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, who had sponsored the scheme of Regional Poultry Farm and that the assessment as regards the value of the property had been made under the auspices of the Central P.W.D. she, agreed to sell the property and ultimately a sale deed was executed and duly registered on the 15th October, 1962. The Sale deed is Exhibit C.W. 2/M.

Audit Report.— It appears that when an audit party from the office of the Accountant General audited the accounts of the department of Animal Husbandry it took notice of the above deal and while doing so it made the following observations in the Audit Report for the year, 1965:—

“26-Purchase of land and buildings.— 43 bighas and 7 biswas of agricultural land, 10 bighas and 19 biswas of land under buildings together with buildings and trees thereon situated in Kamlahi Estate, District Mahasu, was purchased by the Government of India in May, 1962 from an individual for a price of Rs. 1.04 lacs (value of land Rs. 0.65 lacs, value of building Rs. 0.27 lac and value of trees Rs. 0.12 lac). The price had been determined on the basis of average market price indicated in the reports obtained from the Revenue authorities.

In the course of audit it was noticed that the file pertaining to purchase of land of Kamlahi Estate was missing in the Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mahasu district and that the file had been reconstructed later on.

From the papers available, the following points were noticed:—

(a) As it was reported that there had been no transaction in village Bihar (in which Kamlahi Estate was situated), the market value of the land and buildings was assessed in two neighbouring villages; this was as follows:—

Agricultural land Land under buildings
(43 bighas 7 biswas) 7. (10 Bighas
19 biswas)

Village Dhar.

(This was geographically adjacent to Kamlahi Estate).

Rs. 206.77 per bigha.	Rs. 8,471.70 per bigha
Village Barahi	Rs. 370.41 per bigha.

It is noticed in this connection that in Barahi village the value of “Land under buildings” had been assessed as 12* times of the value of “agricultural land”, but in Dhar village value of “land under buildings” which was stated to have been assessed on the basis of market value was 41 times the value of agricultural land. The actual payment was made on the basis of market value of land in Barahi village.

Government stated in February, 1965, that the estate of Kamlahi was suitably situated for the purpose of starting a poultry farm and “under the circumstances the vendor could demand and the vendee could pay the higher price of Rs. 370.41 per bigha”. Moreover, on the basis of average market value of agricultural land and land under buildings the assessment made on the basis of the average price of the land in village Barahi was comparatively cheaper by about Rs. 37,000. The position, would, however, have been reversed if the value of the “land under buildings” in Dhar village had been assessed on the same basis as that in Barahi village; the rate for “land under buildings” would have been only Rs. 2,481.24 paise per bigha and purchase at that rate would have been more advantageous. (b) out of the land purchased an area of 9 bighas 9 biswas of land had been transferred to the owner by the Government in April, 1956 as Nautor (waste land owned by Government outside the town and outside the reserved forests etc.) for a nominal Nazrana of Rs. 47.25 paise. No application had been received from the party for the allotment of Nautor.

Government have stated, however, (February, 1965) that there was no bar to the grant of proprietary rights in an encroached land *suo moto* in the absence of application for the grant of Nautor, Government have also stated that the Nautor grant was not made for any specified purpose and that in the present case, “It is not the question of grant of Nautor for any particular purpose but only for giving proprietary rights in respect of land which was in illicit possession of the encroacher for 7/8 years prior to 1952”.

Report of the Public Accounts Committee.— The matter came up for consideration before the Public Accounts Committee of the Himachal Pradesh and in its report for the year 1966-67 the Committee made the following observations:—

“From the examination of the departmental representative, the following points emerged:—

(1) Transfer of land as Nautor—The transfer of 9 bighas and 9 biswas of land to the vendor in 1956 as Nautor was void and illegal on the following grounds—

- (i) There was no application from the vendor with the appropriate Court fee stamps for the transfer of the land alleged to be under her encroachment for being transferred as Nautor.
- (ii) No patta has been executed for the grant of land as Nautor as required under the rules.
- (iii) The amount of Nazrana paid by the parties to which the land was transferred as Nautor was less than that prescribed under the rules.
- (iv) No proper enquiry appears to have been held to determine whether the land had been under the adverse possession as encroachment of the party for 7 to 8 years prior to December 1952, especially as there appears to be no mention about this encroachment in the land record.

The Committee, therefore, are of the opinion that 9 bighas and 9 biswas of land for which Government paid Rs. 3,500 (agricultural land Rs. 370.41 per bigha) continued to be Government property since 1956 and no payment was required to be made therefor at the time of purchase of Kamlahi Farm in 1961. Government should, therefore, examine this matter in consultation with the Law Officers and recover the amount paid irregularly.

(2) *Payment of compensation for the trees.*—Government should examine as to whether payment of the value of trees, *viz.*, Rs. 11,700 was fair and correct, keeping in view that only a sum of Rs. 41.75 was paid for 12 trees standing in the forest area of 4 bighas and 16 biswas transferred as Nautor. In considering this question, it should be kept in view that the ownership of all the trees standing on 9 bighas and 9 biswas of land, in the opinion of the Committee, continue to vest in Government, and no payment was to be made therefor.

(3) *Valuation of the Estate for determining the compensation payable.*— (a) For the purpose of valuation of this property, the market value, *inter alia* of the land under buildings in the village of Dhar and Barahi, was taken into account. Whereas the value of the land under buildings in the case of village Barahi, *viz.* Rs. 4,476.60 per bigha represented the average value per bigha during the last five years of Sakni land, *i. e.* land reserved for the buildings in the case of village Dhar, the average* of the last five years, *viz.* Rs. 8,471.70

per bigha, represented not only the value of land reserved for building purposes but also included cost of the building structures standing thereon. In other words, a comparison of the market value of the land under building in the two villages was incorrect and consequently the conclusion of the department that the market value of both agricultural land and land under building for Barahi village as per data furnished by the Tehsildar represented an economical bargain for the Government was erroneous. It is a puzzle to the Committee as to how there could be such a huge difference between the cost of the land under buildings in village Dhar, viz., Rs. 8,471.70 and village Barahi, viz., Rs. 4,476.60 per bigha. This appears to be explained by the inclusion of the value of the buildings in the case of village Dhar. (in this connection it will be relevant to mention that in the valuation of the estate, the buildings were assessed separately from agricultural land and land under buildings).

(b) In determining the value of the estate, the area under poultry pens, viz., 4917 sq. ft., had been assessed as land under building or Sakni land. *prima facie* the Committee feel that land under poultry pens could not be deemed to be Sakni land and compensation for the same was to be determined as per the agricultural land.

Considering the irregularities and the material points which have remained unclarified, the Committee suggest that this case should be enquired into comprehensively by a Judicial Officer of the status of a High Court Judge in all its aspects under the Public Enquiries Act, 1952 and a report submitted to the Committee.

The Committee further desire that action should be taken against the officials for various irregularities in the light of the report of the Inquiry. It is in pursuance of this direction of the Public Accounts Committee that the present Commission of Inquiry seems to have been constituted.

Issue No. 3. — Out of the four issues posed for answer in the enquiry I will take up question No. 3 first which reads

“Valuation of the Estate for determining the compensation payable”.

It will be observed that the value of the land, both agricultural and the land under buildings was assessed at Rs. 64,729 and this amount was paid to Rani Sahiba Bhadri. Out of 54 bighas 6 biswas of land, 43 bighas 7 biswas, comprised in khata No. 34/63 (20 plots) measuring 38 bighas 6 biswas, khata No. 21/25, khasra No. 125 measuring 4 bighas 16 biswas and khata No. 34/64, Khasra No. 44 measuring 5 biswas was agricultural land and land measuring 10 bighas 19 biswas comprised in Khasra No. 13/15 (288 plots) was land under buildings. The agricultural land was assessed at the rate of Rs. 370.41 per bigha and the land under buildings was assessed at Rs. 4,444.92. The land in question is situated in village Bihar. There was no sale transaction in village Bihar during the last five years prior to the date when the Tehsildar of the area concerned determined the rates of the agricultural as well as the land under buildings. He, therefore, looked into the sale transactions of the last five years in village Barahi and on that basis he reported to the Deputy Commissioner that on an average the market price of the agricultural land comes to Rs. 370.41 paise per bigha and for the land under building the average price comes to Rs. 4,476.60 paise per bigha. Calculated at these rates the total compensation payable for the land, both agricultural and the land under the buildings, came to Rs. 65,076. While conveying the assessment of the land to the Central P.W.D. the Deputy Commissioner inadvertently made a reference to the average price in respect of agricultural land alone and the C.P.W.D. authorities while calculating the price of the entire land took the average price of the agricultural land for the entire area of 54 bighas 6 biswas and arrived at the figure of Rs. 20,780 to be paid as compensation for the purchase of the total land measuring 54 bighas 6 biswas. When this mistake was discovered the matter was again referred by the Central P.W.D. to the Deputy Commissioner, Mahasu, and he was requested to reconcile the discrepancy. A reference may be made to the correspondence that passed between the authorities of the C.P.W.D., Himachal Pradesh Government and the Government of India, Ministry of Works and Housing, New Delhi. This correspondence has been marked as Exhibit CW2/D, Exhibit CW2/F and Exhibit CW2/G. The assessment on the above lines had been made when Shri Mahabir Singh was the Deputy Commissioner, Mahasu. Shri C.L. Kapila succeeded Shri Mahabir Singh as Deputy Commissioner, Mahasu and when the discrepancy was brought to his notice he personally went to the spot. He found that village Dhar, which was at that time a part of Simla district in the Punjab State, was adjacent to village Bihar. He got worked out of the average price of the sale transactions in village Dhar, both with regard to agricultural land and the land under building and it was found that the rates prevalent on an average in village Dhar for the sale of the agricultural land was Rs. 205.77 per bigha and for the land under building was Rs. 8,471.70 paise per bigha. It has been noticed that so far as Barahi village is concerned the average price worked out in respect of the agricultural land was Rs. 370.41 per bigha and for the land under building was Rs. 4,476.60 paise per bigha. Barahi village was in Himachal Pradesh and was also near to village Bihar. Shri C.L. Kapila thereupon preferred to rely upon the average price obtainable in village Barahi as it was more advantageous to the Government. He, however, made one departure. Instead of relying upon the average price obtainable in village Barahi for the land under buildings he calculated the price at 12 times the average price per bigha of the agricultural land and thus price per bigha for the land under buildings came to Rs. 4,444.92 paise. The ratio between the land assessment of ordinary land and the land under buildings had been fixed during settlement as 1:12 and it was on this basis that the price of the land under buildings was calculated under orders of Mr. Kapila. It is not necessary to go into the question whether this method of calculation was correct or not for the simple reason that while calculating the price of the land under buildings by this method, the actual price arrived at was less than that based on the average of the last five years' sale transactions. It will thus be noticed that after the revaluation had been made instance of Mr. C.L. Kapila the revised value of the agricultural land and the land under buildings for 54 bighas 6 biswas came to Rs. 64,729 and thus it was less than Rs. 65,076 i.e., the valuation arrived at by the revenue authorities during the time when Shri Mahabir Singh was the Deputy Commissioner. This valuation was also much less than what it should have been if it had been calculated at the rates prevalent in village Dhar which was adjacent to village Bihar. One apprehension that appears to have agitated the mind of the Public Accounts Committee is whether the sale transactions relating to the land under buildings in villages Barahi and Dhar included the price of the land alone or that of the buildings also. On a

perusal of the copy of the statement of the sale transactions (attached to Exhibit CW 2/G) pertaining to village Dhar in respect of the land under buildings it will be noticed that there is no reference whatsoever in this statement that those sale transactions covered not the land alone but also buildings standing thereon. It is common knowledge that the building site fetches a such higher price than agricultural land and that is all the more so when the building site is practically a suburb of Simla town as is the case with Dhar village. There is no material on the record to come to a finding that the sale transactions pertaining to the land under buildings related to not only the land but also the buildings standing thereon. The learned Advocate-General has not agitated this point during the arguments and, in my opinion, rightly so. The authorities concerned have been cautious in making the assessment so much so that the Deputy Commissioner, Shri C L. Kapila, had himself inspected the spot and looked into the relevant records and it was thereafter that the final assessment was made. Shri C.L. Kapila has also taken the witness-box and not even a suggestion was thrown to him by the learned Advocate-General on this point. This assessment was later checked and supervised by the Central P.W.D. and the Government of India approved it. In the circumstances, I find that there was no irregularity whatsoever in so far as the assessment of the valuation of the land whether agricultural or under the buildings was concerned and the valuation appears to be substantially correct.

Assessment of the buildings.—That takes me to the assessment of the buildings standing on the acquired land. It is not necessary to examine this point in detail. The assessment was directly made by the Central P.W.D. authorities and the local administration and no part to play in making the assessment. Shri T.S. Bajaj, Executive Engineer, Simla Central Division, Central P.W.D. Simla, has filed an affidavit on the basis of the records available to his office. He has also filed all the documents pertaining to the assessment of the buildings. A perusal of all these documents shows that the assessment of the value of the buildings was reasonable. There has been no challenge to this assessment from any quarter.

Issue No. 2—Cost of trees.—The next important item relates to the cost of the trees. That is issue No. 2 Shri Harbans Singh, Director of Horticulture, has filed an affidavit on the basis of the records available to his office. He has also been examined as a witness. There were 347 fruit and 203 non-fruit bearing trees on the entire land which was sold to the Government. The assessment of the value of the fruit trees was made by the Horticulture Department and it was assessed at Rs. 9,301. The assessment of the value of the non-fruit bearing trees was made by the Forest Department and according to their calculation it came to Rs. 2,396, total Rs. 11,697. Rani Sahiba Bhadri was paid Rs. 11,700 as the value of the trees. Shri Lalit Singh, who was the Fruit Preservation Assistant, Mashobra, at the relevant time and Shri A.R. Thappar who was then the Horticultural Officer, have also filed the affidavits. It appears that the actual counting of the fruit trees was made by Shri P.L. Gupta, who was the Horticultural Assistant at that time. It is he who assessed the value of the fruit trees at Rs. 9,301. This official is reported to be in U.S.A. for higher studies and, therefore, he could not be examined before the Commission. However, Shri Harbans Singh filed the original list of the trees that were found by Shri Gupta on the land in question. He has also made the calculations of the value of the trees on this very list. The list is Exhibit CW3/A. There is

some discrepancy between the list prepared by Shri Gupta and the one prepared by Shri Lalit Singh. But it appears that the list prepared by Shri Gupta is more authenticated because it was he, who actually did the counting. In order to determine whether the price paid for the fruit trees was reasonable, this Commission had directed Shri Harbans Singh to give his opinion as to what should have been the price that should have been for 347 fruit trees standing on the land in dispute. According to his calculations, the valuation made by Mr. Gupta was on a very low side. He has calculated the price at Rs. 29,18. The statements filed by him in this behalf are Exhibit (W3/C and D). Shri Harbans Singh is an independent witness. He has made a statement on oath that, according to him, the price of the trees should have been found about Rs. 29,000. He is an expert, being the Director of Horticulture. He has also no axe to grind because he had nothing to do with the deal in question. I have, therefore, no hesitation in recording a finding that there was no irregularity whatsoever in assessing the value of the fruit trees at Rs. 9,301.

Coming to the value of the non-fruit trees various officers of the Forest Department, namely, Shri Krishan Kumar Sarin, the then Range Officer, Shri Ram Rattan, the then Block Officer, Kasumpti Block, Shri J.N. Mullick and Shri V.K. Sharma, Conservators of Forests, who were at the relevant time the Divisional Forest Officers, Simla Forest Division and the Chief Conservator of Forests have filed their affidavits. They have also given the detailed list of the non-fruit bearing trees that were found on the land in dispute. It is clear from these affidavits and the annexures thereto that there were 203 non-fruit bearing trees on the land in dispute. 186 trees were on the land situated in Himachal Pradesh and 17 trees were on the area situated within the Municipal Committee, Simla. This will be found from the affidavits filed by Shri Krishan Kumar Sarin and Shri Ram Rattan. The assessment of the trees so far as the area in Himachal Pradesh is concerned, was made on the basis of the sanctioned schedule to market rates for the year 1958-59 of the Simla Forest Division of Himachal Pradesh and so far as the trees in the area situated within the Municipal Committee, Simla was concerned it was done on the basis of the market rates of the Forest Department, Municipal Committee, Simla, I find no irregularity with regard to the assessment of the non-fruit bearing trees also. It is true that only a sum of Rs. 41.75 paise was charged from Rani Girja Devi for the 12 trees standing on the encroached land. This valuation had also been made by the Forest Department. It should be remembered that Rani Girja Devi had been paid for 203 trees, which found on the entire land and the trees were of different kinds. The mere fact that the valuation of 12 trees was Rs. 41.75 paise is no ground to hold that the valuation for the non-fruit bearing trees were on the higher side. I find there has been no irregularity of any kind in making the payment of Rs. 11,700 as compensation for both fruit and non-fruit bearing trees. It may be noticed that no one including the learned Advocate General has challenged the assessment before me either with regard to the land or with regard to the buildings or the trees.

Issue No. 1—Transfer of land as Nautor.—Coming to question No. 1 with regard to transfer of land as Nautor, it will be relevant to give some details. Shri Devi Saran, Patwari, Patwar Circle No. 7, Jajot and Man Singh, Kanungo (both officials are reported to be dead) made a joint report on the 20th of March, 1956 that at the time of the demarcation of boundaries of the demarcated jungle, Tutikandi they had found that an area measuring 4 bighas

16 biswas comprised in Khasra No. 125 situated in village Tutikandi had been in possession of Shrimati Rani Sahiba Girja Devi for the last 17-18 years. A similar report was made by them in respect of another piece of land measuring 4 bighas 13 biswas comprised in Khasra No. 56 in village Bihar, Pargana Jajot. The former piece of land was recorded as Gair-mumkin Nali and was not assessed to land revenue. There were 10 to 12 Deodar trees standing on it. The papers went to the Tehsildar, Kasumpti, and he in turn sent the papers to the Revenue Assistant, Mahasu, for the grant of proprietary rights under rule 21 (2) of the Himachal Pradesh Nautor Rules, 1954 on payment of Rs. 24 as penalty at Rs. 5 per bigha. The price of the trees standing on this piece of land was to be charged separately. The second piece of land was also Gair-mumkin Khud. There were no trees on this land. A similar recommendations for conferring proprietary rights in respect of this land on Rani Sahiba Girja Devi had been made by the revenue officials on payment of Rs. 23.40 as penalty at the rate of Rs. 5 per bigha. When the matter came before the Deputy Commissioner, he ordered to a further enquiry into matter. Shri Raghbir Singh was the Deputy Commissioner at that time. A regular enquiry was held and Sarvshri Radha Krishan Sharma, Sant Ram Sharma and Padam Chand who were the Zimindars of the area concerned, were examined. Shri Shiv Ram, Chowkidar and Shri Balak Ram, Lambardar of the Illaqa were also examined. It was found that Rani Girja Devi was in illicit possession of the two pieces of land for the last 7/8 years, that is to say, she was in illicit possession prior to July, 1951. The Forest Department had also been consulted and they reported that they had no objection if proprietary rights were conferred on Rani Girja Devi. They assessed the value of 12 trees at Rs. 41.20.

When the matter came before the Deputy Commissioner after the enquiry, he, vide his orders, dated the 12th April, 1956, conferred proprietary rights in respects of the two pieces of land on Rani Girja Devi. Thereafter, the mutation was entered. No. Patta, however, was executed. The main question for determination is whether the grant of proprietary rights in favour of Rani Sahiba Girja Devi was in consonance with the rules. Much controversy has centred round this point between the learned Advocate-General and the counsel appearing for Rani Sahiba Girja Devi. The relevant rule governing the grant of land which has been encroached upon by any person, is contained in rule 21 of the rules governing the grant of Nautors in Himachal Pradesh. This rule says that no land shall be granted to a person who has encroached upon it after 17th of July, 1951. But where the land had been encroached upon before this date, the proprietary rights could be conferred on the encroacher in accordance with the principles laid down in the sub-rules of rule 21. It is not disputed that Rani Sahiba Bhadri was the encroacher, and, therefore, the Deputy Commissioner was competent to grant the ownership rights in respect of the two pieces of land in her favour. She is proved to be in possession before the 17th of July, 1951, and the penalty that she had to pay was to be calculated in accordance with the principles laid down in rule 21. In case, it is found that the grant was rightly made, there is no dispute with regard to the penalty charged. It has been noticed that since she was an encroacher the authorities were competent to grant her the ownership rights. Rule 21 is a comprehensive rule and deals with such cases where there has been an encroachment on the Government land prior to 17th of July, 1951 and, therefore, the principles

that were to be followed with regard to the grant of Nautor land do not apply in such a case. It is true that an application had to be made by an encroacher for the grant of ownership rights in an encroached land under rule 21 (6), but it is not such a condition which would invalidate the grant if no application was made. It has been urged that a Patta should have been executed in order to make the grant valid. I am afraid, I am not in a position to subscribe to this view. Rule 21 does not lay down that after the grant has been sanctioned, a Patta has to be executed as in the case of the grant of Nautor lands. In fact, the grant in this particular case is not a Nautor grant made in favour of an encroacher who has been in illicit possession before 17th of July, 1951. Sarvshri Raghbir Singh, C.L. Kapila and S. K. Alok who have been the Deputy Commissioners of Mahasu district at various times, have deposed that no Patta was executed in a case where the grant was made to an encroacher. They have dealt with many such cases and in no case a Patta has been executed.

I am, therefore, of the opinion that there was no illegality in the grant of ownership rights in the land in dispute to Rani Sahiba Bhadri. The learned Advocate-General has invited my attention to Article 299 of the Constitution of India and urged that a Patta had to be executed in the name of the President in order to confer a legal title on Rani Sahiba Bhadri and since it was not done, the grant in respect of the two pieces of land is invalid and the ownership continues to vest in the Government. I have given careful consideration to this submission of the learned Advocate-General and I am of the view that it is not necessary for me to express any opinion on this point. The reason is simple. This Commission is required to probe into the question whether there has been any irregularity in the grant of ownership rights in favour of Rani Sahiba Bhadri. I have come to a finding that there has not been any such irregularity. It is for the Government and its Advisors to take notice of the fact whether any Patta had to be executed in the name of the President in view of Article 299 of the Constitution. As appears from the statements of Sarvshri Raghbir Singh, C.L. Kapila and S. K. Alok that several grants of this type have been made without executing a Patta and, therefore, when the officers followed the same procedure in this case, they did not commit any irregularity.

Issue No. 4.—In view of my findings on the three issues above, issue No. 4, becomes redundant. Since according to me no irregularity has been committed, there is no question of fixing any responsibility on any officer.

Let the report be submitted to the Government forthwith.

August 30, 1972.

Sd/-
CHET RAM,
Commission of Inquiry,
Kamlahi Estate.

INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT
NOTIFICATION

Simla-2, the 20th July, 1973

No. 9-7/73-SI.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Himachal Pradesh that land is likely to be acquired to be taken by Government at the public expense for a public purpose, namely, for the extension of Industrial Estate at village Basal, Tehsil and district Solan, Himachal Pradesh, it is hereby notified that land in the locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made, under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may, within thirty days of the publication of this notification, file an objection in writing before the Collector, Land Acquisition, S. D. O. (Civil), Solan, District Solan.

SPECIFICATION

1	2	3
5.	1775	2 7
6.	1777	0 7
7.	1778	0 2
8.	1792	0 15
9.	1791	0 7
10.	2349/1765 Min	0 4
11.	1795	4 0
12.	2357/2276/1807	0 4
13.	2350/1765	0 12
14.	1785	1 11
15.	2349/1765 Min.	0 16
16.	1783	0 19
17.	1784	0 1
18.	1780	1 11
19.	1796	1 4
20.	2274/1770/1/1	0 5
21.	2274/1770/2	0 5
22.	1767	3 0
23.	1768	1 10
24.	1771/1/1	0 1
25.	1771/3	0 1
26.	1771/2	0 3
27.	1793	0 14
28.	1794	2 15
29.	2373/1770	0 4
30.	1772	0 5

Total ... 26 7
Bighas.

By order,
P. K. MATTOO,
Secretary.

PART II

HIMACHAL PRADESH MARKETING BOARD
(MARKET COMMITTEE)
NOTIFICATIONS

Simla-5, the 18th June, 1973

No. HMB-6(II)/72-SIM.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 4 of the Himachal Pradesh Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1969 (Act No. 9 of 1970), the Himachal Pradesh Marketing Board hereby denotes the notified Market areas of Simla, Saproon, Kandaghat, Theog and Solan Markets earlier notified vide notification Nos—

- Notification No. 2025-M(II)-65/1409, dated the 27th May, 1965 under section 6 (1) of the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1961 issued by the Government of Punjab and published in the Punjab Government Gazette of June 4, 1965 (Part I) in respect of Simla, Saproon and Kandaghat Markets.
- Notification No. HPMB/20-3/67-Agr. II, dated the 15th November, 1967 under section 4 (1) of the Patiala Agricultural Produce Markets Act of 2004 BK issued by the Himachal Pradesh Marketing Board and published in the Government Gazette, dated the 25th November, 1967.

Simla-5, the 18th June, 1973

No. HMB-36(II)/72-SIM.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1969 (Act No. 9 of

1970) with reference to the Himachal Pradesh Marketing Board notification No. HMB-36 (II)/72, dated the 7th May, 1973 (published on 12-5-1973 in Himachal Rajapatra) issued under section 3 (19) of the Act, the Board hereby declares the areas (the revenue estates) specified in the schedule to be notified market areas of the Market Committee, Solan for the purposes of the Act in respect of the Agricultural Produce as specified in clause (9) of section 2 of the Act.

For the purposes of section 4 (3) of the Act, this notification shall come into force 30 days before the Market Committee, Solan is established and constituted under sections 9 and 10 of the Act.

SCHEDULE

Sl.	Revenue Estates	Tehsil	District
<i>Market Committee, Solan</i>			
1.	All the Revenue Estates including Municipal Committees; Notified Area Committees and Cantonment Board Areas of Solan district (except Nalagarh tehsil).		
2.	All the Revenue Estates of Rajgarh Sub-Tehsil/ Pachhad Tehsil of Sirmur District.		

Simla-5, the 18th June, 1973

No. HMB-6-(II)/72-SIM.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 (1) of the Himachal Pradesh

Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1969 (Act No. 9 of 1970) the Himachal Pradesh Marketing Board notification No. HMB-6-(II)/72-Sim., dated the 7th May, 1973 (published on 12-5-1973 in Himachal Rajpatra) issued under section 3 (19) of the Act, the Board hereby declares the areas (the revenue estates) specified in the schedule to be the notified market areas of the Market Committee, Simla for the purposes of the Act in respect of the agricultural produce as specified in clause (a) of section 2 of the Act.

For the purposes of section 4 (3) of the Act, this notification shall come into force 30 days before the Market Committee, Simla is established and constituted under

sections 9 and 10 of the Act.

SCHEDULE

Sl.	Estates	Tehsil	District
<i>Market Committee, Simla</i>			
	All the Revenue Estates including Municipal Committees, Simla Municipal Corporation, Cantonment Board Areas and Notified Area Committees in whole of Simla district.		

B. S. JOGI,
Chairman

PART V

PUBLIC NOTICE

Late Rana Bashishth Singh Chand, Ruler of erstwhile Koti State, resident of Raghbir Bhawan, Kailti, Pargana Pagogi, Tehsil and District Simla, (formerly Tehsil Kasumti, District Mahasu) died on 8-7-70 leaving behind some moveable and immovable properties, which has been inherited and is inheritable by the following heirs (sons and daughters) left by the deceased.

1. Rana Devesh Singh son of Rana Bashishth Singh Chand, r/o Raghbir Bhawan Kailti, Tehsil and District Simla.
2. Kanwar Tara Singh of Rana Bashishth Singh Chand, r/o 3, New Lands Annexe, Simla-1.
3. Rani Tara Devi wife of Rana Gajendra Chand of Manswal, r/o 593, Sector 10/D, Chandigarh.
4. Rani Shakuntala Devi wife of Rana Gajendra Chand of Manswal, r/o 593, Sector 10/D, Chandigarh.
5. Kunwarani Kamala Devi wife of Kanwar Jasjit Singh of Ramgarh, r/o Ramgarh, Tehsil Naraingarh, District Ambala.

Immoveable properties—In Simla districts:—

1. North Bank Estate, Simla-1, including houses standing thereon.

2. Penrose Estate, Simla-2, including houses standing thereon.
3. Landed properties situated in villages Barmu, Sadhora, Pajog, Kanda, Sipur, Baldainyan and Kasumti (Koti), Tehsil & District Simla.

In Ambala District:—Landed properties situated in village Bana Madanpur Jhuriwala and Bhog Mataur, Tehsil Naraingarh, and in village Kharak, Maghuli, Tehsil Kalka.

All the said properties are still undivided properties of the aforesaid heirs and legal representatives of the said late Rana Bashishth Singh Chand. If any person purchases any part of the above properties from any of the aforesaid heirs, without joining the other heirs, the rights of the other heirs will not be in any way adversely affected and it will pass no title to the intending purchaser. Wrong entries procured illegally by any of the aforesaid co-owners will not effect the rights of the other co-owners.

Sd/-
KANWAR TARA SINGH,
son of late Rana Bashishth Singh Chand,
3, New Lands Annexe, Simla-1.